A States
FLORIDA
10-000000000

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) Image: Complaint/Discovery (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) Image: Complaint No:						
AIRS ID#: 0110033 DATE: 1/30/2008 ARRIVE: 1300 DEPART: 1400 FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS CORP(SUNRISE) FACILITY LOCATION: 1050 NE 5TH TERRACE FORT LAUDERDALE 33334 FORT LAUDERDALE 33334 FORT LAUDERDALE 33334 PHONE: (561)820-8415 CONTACT NAME: 11/27/2003 / 11/27/2008 PHONE: (561)820-8415						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)				
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes □ No 				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)				
2. Did this facility demonstrate:				
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?				
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No				
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No 				
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No 				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>)	e 🗌
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processis plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)	ing ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No	
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No	
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process</u> <u>Equipment</u>

1.	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
		installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	
	b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	□Yes	🛛 No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/30/2008

Date of Inspection

1/30/2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 1/30/2008, AQD staff observed operationa at the Rinker facility located on 1050 NE 5th Terrace, Fort Lauderdale. FL. The facility has a split silo with two baghouses and 3 dust collectors. The yard housekeeping was good and the Visible Emissions Testing will be conducted in September, 2009.